

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Quantum groups and Lie-admissible time evolution

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L775

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/14/004)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 11:00

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Quantum groups and Lie-admissible time evolution

A Jannussis†‡, G Brodimas† and R Mignani‡§

Dipartimento di Fisica, I^a Università di Roma 'La Sapienza', Piazza le Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy

Received 15 March 1991, in final form 28 May 1991

Abstract. The time evolution of operators for q-oscillators is derived for the first time by exploiting the connection between q-deformation algebras and Lie-admissible algebras.

In recent years a great deal of attention has been paid both in the mathematical [1] and physical [2] literature to the so-called (improperly) quantum groups, i.e. 'deformations' of Lie algebras, first introduced by Arik and Coon [3] and later rediscovered independently by Kuryshkin [4], and by Faddeev [5], Sklyanin [6], and Kulish and Reshetiklin [7], in the study of the Yang-Baxter equations. Since 1981, many aspects of the q-deformation of an oscillator algebra have been investigated by Jannussis and collaborators [8-10].

In particular, Jannussis *et al* realized (as early as 1981) [8] that the standard form of the commutation relation for a q-deformed harmonic oscillator [3, 4]

$$\hat{A}\hat{A}^{\dagger} - q\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{A} = \hat{I} \tag{1}$$

(where $q \in [-1, \infty), q \neq 0$) corresponds to a (λ, μ) mutation algebra [11], i.e. a special case of a Lie-admissible algebra [12]. A Lie-admissible Q-algebra [10] is obtained when considering an operator \hat{Q} (instead of a number q) in the commutation relation, thus getting

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{+}) = \hat{A}\hat{A}^{+} - \hat{A}^{+}\hat{Q}\hat{A} = \hat{I}.$$
(2)

The connection between quantum groups and Lie-admissible Q-algebras has been extensively studied in [10]. Moreover, Jannussis and collaborators introduced the generalized commutation relation [10]

$$\hat{A}\hat{A}^{+} - \hat{A}^{+}\hat{Q}\hat{A} = f(\hat{n}) \tag{3}$$

where \hat{n} is the usual number operator $(\hat{n}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle)$ satisfying the following commutation rules with \hat{A} , \hat{A}^+ :

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{n}] = \hat{A}$$
 $[\hat{A}^+, \hat{n}] = -\hat{A}^+$ (4)

and $f(\cdot)$ is a suitable function. For instance, in the case $f(\hat{n}) = q^{\hat{n}}$ (and q, Q numbers), one gets the Q-algebra depending on two parameters q, Q. For Q = 1/q the q-deformed harmonic oscillator is obtained. These two special cases correspond to the quantum groups $SU(2)_{Q,q}$ and $SU(2)_q$, respectively [10, 2].

† On leave from Department of Physics, Patras University, 26110 Patras, Greece (permanent address).

[‡] Also: IBR, PO Box 1577, Palm Harbor, Florida, USA.

[§] Also: INFN-Sezione di Roma.

In the present letter, we want to discuss the time evolution of the operators $\hat{A}^+(t)$ for the two-parameter Lie-admissible Q-algebra. As is known from the current literature [1-7], the analogous problem has not yet been solved for any quantum group, even for the case of the q-deformed oscillator.

In the Lie-admissible framework, the time evolution of operators is ruled by Santilli's generalization of Heisenberg's equation of motion [13], i.e.

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\hat{A}}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{T}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{R}\hat{\mathcal{H}}$$
(5)

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the usual Hamiltonian operator (describing conservative forces) and \hat{T} , \hat{R} are suitable operators (supposed to represent, in general, non-conservative interactions). The case $\hat{T} = \hat{R}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{T} \neq \hat{T}\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ corresponds to the so-called Lie-isotopic case.

According to [10], the boson realization of the operators \hat{A} and \hat{A}^+ for $f(\hat{n}) = q^{\hat{n}}$ (with Q a parameter) has the form

$$\hat{A} \approx \sqrt{\frac{[\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}}{\hat{n}+1}} \hat{a} \qquad \hat{A}^{+} = \hat{a}^{+} \sqrt{\frac{[\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}}{\hat{n}+1}}$$
(6)

where

$$[x] = \frac{q^{x} - Q^{x}}{q - Q} = \frac{Q^{x} - q^{x}}{Q - q}$$
(7)

and $a, a^+, \hat{n} = \hat{a}^+ \hat{a}$ are boson operators, satisfying the usual commutation relations. Since the operators $\hat{A}^+ \hat{A}$ and $\hat{a}^+ \hat{a}$ commute, they have the same basis $|n\rangle$ (i.e. the usual Bose basis in Fock space).

From (6) one gets easily

$$\hat{A}|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n]_{Q,q}}|n-1\rangle \qquad \hat{A}^{+}|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n+1]_{Q,q}}|n+1\rangle \tag{8}$$

$$\hat{A}^{+}\hat{A}|n\rangle = [n]_{Q,q}|n\rangle \tag{9}$$

and the relations

$$\hat{A}\hat{A}^{+} = [\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q} \qquad \hat{A}^{+}\hat{A} = [\hat{n}]_{Q,q}.$$
(10)

The operators \hat{A} , \hat{A}^+ can be expressed in terms of the coordinate and momentum operators \hat{x} , \hat{p} as [9]:

$$\hat{A} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\hbar(Q+1)}} \lambda \left(\sqrt{m\omega} \hat{x} + i \frac{\hat{p}}{\sqrt{m\omega}} \right)$$

$$\hat{A}^{+} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\hbar(Q+1)}} \lambda \left(\sqrt{m\omega} \hat{x} - i \frac{\hat{p}}{\sqrt{m\omega}} \right)$$
(11)

where λ is a scale factor depending on q and Q.

From (11) and (2) (with $f(\hat{n}) = q^{\hat{n}}$), we find the following non-canonical commutation relations for \hat{x} and \hat{p} :

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \left(q^{\hat{n}} \lambda^{-2} + \frac{2i}{\hbar \omega} \frac{Q-1}{Q+1} \hat{\mathcal{R}} \right)$$
(12)

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \hat{x}^2 = \frac{\hbar\omega}{4} (Q+1)\lambda^{-2} (\hat{A}\hat{A}^+ + \hat{A}^+\hat{A}).$$
(13)

On account of (10) we get

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{4} (Q+1)\lambda^{-2} ([\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q} + [\hat{n}]_{Q,q})$$
(14)

i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a function of the number operator \hat{n} .

The exponential form of the time evolution for \hat{A} , obtained from the generalized Heisenberg equation (5) for the Lie-admissible Q-algebra (3), is given by [13] (we have of course, in this case, $\hat{T} = \hat{I}$ and $\hat{R} = \hat{Q} = Q\hat{I}$)

$$\hat{A}(t) = \exp\left(\frac{it\hat{\mathcal{H}}Q}{\hbar}\right)\hat{A}(0) \exp\left(\frac{-it\hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\hbar}\right).$$
(15)

On account of (6) and (14), $\hat{A}(t)$ becomes

$$\hat{A}(t) = \exp\left\{\frac{it\omega(Q+1)Q}{4}\lambda^{-2}([\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}+[\hat{n}]_{Q,q})\right\}$$

$$\times \sqrt{\frac{[\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}}{\hat{n}+1}}\,\hat{a}\exp\left\{\frac{-it\omega(Q+1)}{4}\lambda^{-2}([\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}+[\hat{n}]_{Q,q})\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{\frac{it\omega(Q+1)}{4}\lambda^{-2}[Q([\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q}+[\hat{n}]_{Q,q})-([\hat{n}+2]_{Q,q}+[\hat{n}+1]_{Q,q})]\right\}\hat{A}(0).$$
(16)

After some algebra we obtain finally

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{-\mathrm{i}t\omega(Q+1)(q+1)}{4\lambda^2}q^{\hat{n}}\right]\hat{\mathbf{A}}(0)$$
(17)

and the corresponding expression for \hat{A}^+ :

$$\hat{A}^{+}(t) = \hat{A}^{+}(0) \exp\left[\frac{it\omega(Q+1)(q+1)}{4\lambda^{2}} q^{\hat{n}}\right].$$
(18)

The case q = 1 (already discussed in [10]) corresponds to $\lambda = 1$, and therefore

$$\hat{A}(t) = e^{-it\omega(Q+1)/2}\hat{A}(0).$$
(19)

As already noted, the q-deformed oscillator is obtained for Q = 1/q ($\lambda = q^{-1/4}$), namely

$$\hat{A}(t) = \exp\left[-it\omega \frac{(q+1)^2}{4q^{1/2}} q^{\hat{n}}\right] \hat{A}(0).$$
(20)

In the same way, without any difficulty, it is possible to find the time evolution for \hat{A} , \hat{A}^+ for any (regular) function $f(\hat{n})$.

We have therefore shown that the formalism of Lie-admissible algebras allows one to discuss dynamics for quantum groups in a straightforward way. Further applications of this approach will be given elsewhere.

Two of us (AJ and GB) acknowledge the kind hospitality of the Department of Physics of the first Rome University.

References

[1]	Jimbo M 1985 Lett. Math. Phys. 10 63; 1986 Lett. Math. Phys. 11 247; 1987 Commun. Math. Phys. 102 537
	Drinfeld V G 1986 Proc. Int. Congr. of Mathematics, Berkeley vol 1 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) p 798
	Woronowicz S L 1987 Commun. Math. Phys. 111 613
	Manin Y I 1988 Quantum Groups and Non-commutative Geometry (Montreal: Centre de Recherches Mathematiques)
	Pasquier V 1988 Nucl. Phys. B 295 491; 1988 Commun. Math. Phys. 118 355
	Vaksmann L L and Soibelmann Ya S 1988 Funk, Anal. Appl. 22 1
	Rosso M 1989 Commun. Math. Phys. 124 307
	Arnandon D and Roche P 1989 Lett. Math. Phys. 17 295
	Faddeev L D, Reshetiklin N Yu and Takhtajan L A 1989 Algebra Anal. 1 178
	Bernard D 1989 Lett. Math. Phys. 17 239
[2]	MacFarlane A J 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 4581
	Biedenharn L C 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L873
	Sen C P and Fu H C 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L983
	Chaichian M and Kulish P 1990 Phys. Lett. 234B 72
	Chaichian M, Kulish P and Lukierski J 1990 Phys. Lett. 237B 401
	Fairlie D 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L183
	Kulish P and Damaskinsky E V 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L415
	Floreanini R, Spiridonov V P and Vinet L 1990 Phys. Lett. 242B 383
	Soni S K 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L951
	Curtright T and Zachos C 1990 Phys. Lett. 243B 237
	Filippov A T, Gangopadhyay D and Isaev A P 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L63
[3]	Arik M and Coon D D 1973 Preprint University of Pittsburgh PITT-116
[4]	Kuryshkin V 1980 Ann. Fond. Louis de Broglie 5 111
[5]	Faddeev L D 1982 Les Houches Lectures (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
[6]	Sklyanin E K 1982 Funk. Anal. Appl. 16 27; 1983 Funk. Anal. Appl. 17 34
[7]	Kulish P P and Reshitikhin N Yu 1983 Zap. Nauk. Semin. LOMI 101 101; 1983 J. Sov. Math. 23 2435
f o 1	

- [8] Jannussis A, Brodimas G, Sourlas D and Zisis V 1981 Lett. Nuovo Cimento 30 123
 Brodimas G, Jannussis A, Sourlas D, Zisis V and Poulopoulos P 1981 Lett. Nuovo Cimento 31 177
- [9] Jannussis A, Brodimas G, Sourlas D, Papaloucas L, Poulopoulos P and Siafarikas P 1982 Lett. Nuovo Cimento 34 375
 - Jannussis A, Brodimas G, Sourlas D, Streelas A, Siafarikas P, Papaloucas L and Tsangas N 1982 Hadron J. 5 1923
- Jannussis A, Brodimas G, Sourlas D, Vlachos K, Siafarikas P and Papaloukas L 1983 Hadron J. 6 1653
- [10] Jannussis A 1991 Quantum groups and the Lie-admissible Q-algebra Hadron J. in press; 1991 Proc. 5th Int. Conf. on Hadronic Mechanics and Non-potential Interactions (Cedar Falls, Iowa, USA, 13-17 August 1990) ed H C Myung (Commack, NY: Nova Science) in press
 - Brodimas G, Bascoutas S, Vavougios D and Jannussis A 1991 Quantum group for linear combinations of Bose and Fermi operators Proc. 5th Int. Conf. on Hadron Mechanics and Non-potential Interactions (Cedar Falls, Iowa, USA, 13-17 August 1990) ed H C Myung (Commack, NY: Nova Science) in press
 - Jannussis A, Brodimas C and Bascoutas S 1991 Lie-admissible Q-algebra and the Pauli exclusion principle Hadron J. in press
- [11] Santilli R M 1967 Nuovo Cimento 51 570; 1968 Suppl. Nuovo Cimento 6 1225
- [12] Albert A A 1949 Proc. Mat. Acad. Sci. 35 317
- Myung H C 1986 Malcev-Admissible Algebras (Boston: Birkhauser)
- [13] Santilli R M 1978 Hadron J. 1 754; 1978 Lie-admissible Approach to Hadron Structure vol I (Nonantum, MA: Hadronic Press); 1982 vol II